#### PHY 564 Advanced Accelerator Physics Lecture 21

#### **Collective Effects II: Examples of Collective Instabilities**

Vladimir N. Litvinenko Yichao Jing Gang Wang

**CENTER for ACCELERATOR SCIENCE AND EDUCATION** Department of Physics & Astronomy, Stony Brook University Collider-Accelerator Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory





# Outline

- Transverse beam breakup instability (BBU) in linear accelerator
  - Two particle model
  - BNS damping
- Longitudinal microwave instability
  - Dispersion relation
  - Cold beam
  - Warm beam (Keil-Schnell criteria for stability)

#### Single pass BBU (Two particle model)



**Figure 3.3.** Sequence of snapshots of a beam undergoing dipole beam breakup instability in a linac. Values of  $k_{\beta}s$  indicated are modulo  $2\pi$ . The dashed curves indicate the trajectory of the bunch head.

Leading particles  $y_1(s) = \hat{y}\cos(k_\beta s)$ 

Trailing particles  $y_2(s)''+k_\beta^2 y_2(s) = \frac{Ne^2 W_1(z)}{2EL} y_1(s)$ =  $4\pi\varepsilon_0 \frac{Nr_0 W_1(z)}{2\gamma L} \hat{y} \cos(k_\beta s)$  Ne/2 Ne/2

Ne

\*Note: our definition of the transverse wake function follow G. Stupakov's note and has a sign difference from that defined in A. Chao's book, i.e. W1 here is -W1 in Chao's book.

## Single pass BBU (Two particle model)

For a linear inhomogenous 2<sup>nd</sup> order differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + a(t)\frac{dx}{dt} + b(t)x = f(t)$$
$$W(t) = \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(t) & \phi_2(t) \\ \phi_1'(t) & \phi_2'(t) \end{vmatrix}$$

its solution is given by

$$x(t) = c_1 \phi_1(t) + c_2 \phi_2(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi_1(\xi) \phi_2(t) - \phi_2(\xi) \phi_1(t)}{W(\xi)} f(\xi) d\xi$$

$$y_{2,inh}(s) = 4\pi\varepsilon_0 \frac{Nr_0W_1(z)}{2\gamma Lk_{\beta}} \hat{y}_0^s \sin(k_{\beta}s - k_{\beta}\xi) \cos(k_{\beta}\xi) d\xi \qquad \phi_2 = \sin(k_{\beta}s) \quad \phi_1 = \cos(k_{\beta}s)$$
$$= 4\pi\varepsilon_0 \frac{Nr_0W_1(z)}{2\gamma Lk_{\beta}} \hat{y}_1 \frac{1}{2} \left[ s\sin(k_{\beta}s) - \int_{-s/2}^{s/2} \sin(2k_{\beta}\xi) d\xi \right] \qquad W(t) = \begin{vmatrix} \cos(k_{\beta}s) & \sin(k_{\beta}s) \\ -k_{\beta}\sin(k_{\beta}s) & k_{\beta}\cos(k_{\beta}s) \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 4\pi\varepsilon_0 \frac{Nr_0W_1(z)}{4\gamma Lk_{\beta}} \hat{y}s\sin(k_{\beta}s)$$

#### Single pass BBU (Two particle model)

$$y_2(s) = c_1 \cos(k_\beta s) + c_2 \sin(k_\beta s) + 4\pi\varepsilon_0 \frac{Nr_0 W_1(z)}{4\gamma Lk_\beta} \hat{y}s \sin(k_\beta s)$$

Noticing that before going through the structure, particle 2 has the same trajectory as that of Particle 1, i.e.  $v_{a}(0) = v_{b}(0) = \hat{v} \cos(0) = \hat{v}$ 

$$y'_{2}(0) = y'_{1}(0) = -\hat{y}k_{\beta}\sin(0) = 0$$

We obtain  $c_1 = \hat{y}$  and  $c_2 = 0$ . Thus the solution for particle 2 is

$$y_2(s) = \hat{y} \left[ \cos(k_\beta s) + 4\pi\varepsilon_0 \frac{Nr_0 W_1(z)}{4k_\beta \gamma L} s \sin(k_\beta s) \right]$$



PHY 564 Fall 2017 Lecture 21

## Single pass BBU II



Figure 4.4: Four transverse beam profiles observed at the end of the SLAC linac are shown when the beam was carefully injected, and injected with 0.2, 0.5, and 1 mm offsets. The beam sizes  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  are about 120  $\mu$ m. (Courtesy John Seeman, 1991)

# One possible cure: BNS damping

Introduce focusing variation along the bunch, i.e. head and tail have different focusing strength

Condition for complete compensation:

$$4\pi\varepsilon_{0}\frac{Nr_{0}W_{1}(z)}{4\gamma Lk_{\beta}\Delta k_{\beta}} = 1 \Longrightarrow \Delta k_{\beta} = 4\pi\varepsilon_{0}\frac{Nr_{0}W_{1}(z)}{4\gamma Lk_{\beta}} \Longrightarrow \qquad y_{2}(s) = \hat{y}\cos(k_{\beta}s)$$



Consider perturbation in phase space density: n-th azimuthal mode

$$\psi_1(z,\Delta E,0) = \hat{\psi}_1(\Delta E)e^{inz/R}$$

Ansatz: 
$$\psi_1(z,\Delta E,t) = \hat{\psi}_1(\Delta E)e^{inz/R-i\Omega t}$$

\*Note that if a perturbation is static,

 $\psi_1^*(z,\Delta E,t) = \hat{\psi}_1^*(\Delta E)e^{in(z-v_0t)/R} = \hat{\psi}_1^*(\Delta E)e^{inz/R-i\Omega^*t} \Longrightarrow \Omega^* = nv_0^*/R = n2\pi v_0^*/C = n\omega_0^*$ 



R

But the system is not likely to be static and we need to solve Vlasov equation selfconsistently to know the answer for  $\Omega$  and hence  $\psi_1(s, \Delta E, t)$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{1}(z,\Delta E,t) + \frac{dz}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\psi_{1}(z,\Delta E,t) + \frac{d\Delta E}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta E}\psi_{0}(\Delta E) = 0$$
  
where 
$$\frac{dz}{dt} = v(\Delta E) \qquad (1)$$

And  $\frac{d\Delta E}{dt}$  is obtained by calculating the longitudinal wake potential  $\frac{d\Delta E(z,t)}{dt} = -\frac{c\Delta p_z(z,t)}{T_0}$  $c\Delta p_z(z,t) = -eQ_eV_{//}(z,t) = -e^2v_0\int_{-\infty}^t \rho_1(z,t_1)w_{//}(t-t_1)dt_1 = -e^2v_0\int_0^\infty \rho_1(z,t-\tau)w_{//}(\tau)d\tau$ 

 $\rho_1 v_0 dt$  gives particle number in the slice (t,t+dt).

Hence, we obtain 
$$\frac{d\Delta E(z,t)}{dt} = -\frac{c\Delta p_z(z,t)}{T_0} = -\frac{e^2 v}{T_0} \int_0^\infty \rho_1(z,t-\tau) w_{//}(\tau) d\tau$$

where  $T_0 = \frac{C_0}{v_0}$  is the revolution period. Using the test solution  $\psi_1(z, \Delta E, t) = \hat{\psi}_1(\Delta E)e^{inz/R-i\Omega t}$ 

and the following relations

$$w_{\prime\prime}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Z_{\prime\prime}(\omega) e^{-i\omega\tau} d\omega$$

$$\rho_1(z,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_1(z,\Delta E,t) d\Delta E = \hat{\rho}_1 e^{inz/R - i\Omega t} \qquad \hat{\rho}_1 \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}_1(\Delta E) d\Delta E$$

we can write the energy kick in term of longitudinal impedance

$$\frac{d\Delta E(z,t)}{dt} = -\hat{\rho}_1 \frac{e^2 v_0}{2\pi T_0} e^{inz/R - i\Omega t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega Z_{//}(\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(\Omega - \omega)\tau} d\tau = -\hat{\rho}_1 \frac{e^2 v_0}{T_0} e^{inz/R - i\Omega t} Z_{//}(\Omega)$$
(2)

Inserting eq. (1) and (2) into Vlasov equation, we obtain

$$-i\Omega\psi_1(z,\Delta E,t) + v(\Delta E) \cdot \frac{in}{R}\psi_1(z,\Delta E,t) - \hat{\rho}_1 \frac{e^2 v_0}{T_0} e^{inz/R - i\Omega t} Z_{II}(\Omega) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta E}\psi_0(\Delta E) = 0$$

, which can be rewritten as

$$\psi_1(z,\Delta E,t) = \frac{ie^2 v_0 Z_{//}(\Omega)}{T_0} \frac{\hat{\rho}_1 e^{inz/R - i\Omega t}}{\Omega - \omega(\Delta E)n} \frac{d\psi_0(\Delta E)}{d\Delta E} \quad \omega(\Delta E) = \frac{v(\Delta E)}{R}$$
  
Integrating above equation over energy, i.e.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Delta E \rightarrow \,$ , yields

Dispersion relation:

$$1 = \frac{ieI_0 Z_{//}(\Omega)}{T_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_0'(\Delta E)}{\Omega - \omega(\Delta E)n} d\Delta E \qquad \qquad \psi_0(\Delta E) = \frac{N}{C_0} f_0(\Delta E) = \frac{N}{C$$

$$1 = \frac{ieI_0 Z_{//}(\Omega)}{T_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_0'(\Delta E)}{\Omega - \omega(\Delta E)n} d\Delta E$$

$$\omega(\Delta E) = \omega_0 + \Delta \omega(\Delta E) = \omega_0 - \eta \omega_0 \frac{\Delta p_z}{p_{0,z}} = \omega_0 - \frac{\eta \omega_0}{\beta^2} \frac{\Delta E}{E_0}$$

Cold Beam:  $f_0(\Delta E) = \delta(\Delta E)$ 

$$\eta = \frac{1}{\gamma_t^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}$$

\*Imaginary part of  $\,\Omega$  tell us whether the system is stable

$$\psi_1(z,\Delta E,t) = \hat{\psi}_1(\Delta E)e^{inz/R-i\Omega t}$$

$$1 = \frac{ieI_0 Z_{//}(\Omega)}{T_0} \frac{\eta n \omega_0}{E_0 \beta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_0(\Delta E)}{\left(\Omega - n\omega_0 + \frac{\eta n \omega_0}{E_0 \beta^2} \Delta E\right)^2} d\Delta E$$

$$\implies \Omega = n\omega_0 \pm \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{ieI_0 \eta n Z_{//}(\Omega)}{2\pi E_0 \beta^2}} \approx n\omega_0 \pm \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{ieI_0 \eta n Z_{//}(n\omega_0)}{2\pi E_0 \beta^2}}$$
Perturbative approach assuming  $\frac{|\Omega - n\omega_0|}{n\omega_0} <<1$ 



$$\Omega \approx n\omega_0 \pm \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{ieI_0\eta nZ_{//}(n\omega_0)}{2\pi E_0\beta^2}}$$

For cold beam, the only case for stable beam is the machine impedance is pure inductive, i.e.  $\operatorname{Im}(Z_{\prime\prime}) < 0$ , for  $\eta > 0$  and capacitive, i.e.  $\operatorname{Im}(Z_{\prime\prime}) > 0$  for  $\eta < 0$ .

Taken from 'Accelerator Physics' by S.Y. Lee



Figure 3.36: The longitudinal beam profiles observed at PSR the bunched coasting beam in the presence of inductive inserts, where three 1-m long ferrite ring cavities were installed in the PSR ring. [Courtesy of R. Macek, LANL]

 $f_0(\Delta E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_E}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E^2}{2\sigma_E^2}\right)$ 

Warm Beam:

Dispersion relation for warm beam

$$1 = \frac{ieI_0 Z_{//} (n\omega_0)}{T_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_E^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-\frac{\Delta E}{\sigma_E} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E^2}{2\sigma_E^2}\right)}{\Omega - \omega(\Delta E)n} d\Delta E = i\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{eI_0 \left[ Z_{//} (n\omega_0) / n \right] E_0 \beta^2}{2\pi \eta \sigma_E^2} \right\} J_G \left( \tilde{\Omega} \right)$$
$$= i\frac{2\ln(2)}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{eI_0 \left[ Z_{//} (n\omega_0) / n \right] E_0 \beta^2}{\eta \sigma_{E,FWHM}^2} \right\} J_G \left( \tilde{\Omega} \right)$$
$$J_G \left( \tilde{\Omega} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-x \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)}{\left[ \tilde{\Omega} \frac{E_0 \beta^2}{\eta n \omega_0 \sigma_E} + x \right]} dx$$
$$U' - iV' = \frac{eI_0 \left[ Z_{//} (n\omega_0) / n \right] E_0 \beta^2}{\eta \sigma_{E,FWHM}^2} \quad U' \sim \operatorname{Re} \left( Z_{//} (n\omega_0) \right)$$
$$\tilde{\Omega} = \operatorname{Re} \left( \tilde{\Omega} \right) + \operatorname{Im} \left( \tilde{\Omega} \right) \equiv \Omega - \omega_0 n$$

$$U' - iV' = \frac{-i\pi}{2\ln(2)J_G\left(\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\Omega} + i\operatorname{Im}\tilde{\Omega}\right)}$$

• The dispersion relation is solved by numerical plotting the contours for various  $\mathrm{Im}\,\tilde{\Omega}$  in the complex impedance plane.

 $\psi_1(z,\Delta E,t) = \hat{\psi}_1(\Delta E)e^{inz/R-i\Omega t}$ 

Contours with  $Im(\tilde{\Omega}) = 0$  for Gaussian with various various energy distribution growth rate,  $Im(\tilde{\Omega})$ 10.0 10.0 7.5 7.5 5.0 5.0 v V 2.5 2.5 0.0 0.0 -2.5-2.5o U′ U'

Simplified estimation for stability condition: Keil-Schnell criterion

$$\left| \left| Z_{\prime\prime\prime} \left( n \omega_0 \right) / n \right| \leq \frac{2\pi |\eta| \sigma_E^2}{E_0 \beta^2 e I_0} F$$

F depends on distributiion and for Gaussian energy distribution, it is 1.

Figure 3.34: Left: The solid line shows the parameters V' vs U' for a Gaussian beam distribution at a zero growth rate. Dashed lines inside the threshold curve are stable. They correspond to  $-\text{Im}\,\Omega/(\sqrt{2\ln 2}\,\omega_0\eta\sigma_\delta) = -0.1, -0.2, -0.3, -0.4$ , and -0.5. Dashed lines outside the threshold curve have growth rates  $-\text{Im}\,\Omega/(\sqrt{2\ln 2}\,\omega_0\eta\sigma_\delta) = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$ , and 0.5 respectively. Right: The threshold V' vs U' parameters for various beam distributions.

from inside outward, for the normalized distribution functions  $\Psi_0(x) = 3(1-x^2)/4$ ,  $8(1-x^2)^{3/2}/3\pi$ ,  $15(1-x^2)^2/16$ ,  $315(1-x^2)^4/32$ , and  $(1/\sqrt{2\pi})\exp(-x^2/2)$ . All dis-



Many pictures and derivations used in the slides are taken from the following references:

[1] 'Accelerator Physics' by S.Y. Lee;
[2] 'Physics of Collective Beam Instabilities in High Energy Accelerators' by A. Chao;
[3] 'Coasting beam longitudinal coherent instabilities' by J.L. Laclare

# What we learned today

- In linear accelerator, single bunch transverse beam break up instability can develop if the bunches are not carefully injected and machine transverse wake function / impedance is large. Such a instability can be compensated by introducing focusing variation along the bunch, i.e. BNS damping.
- We also derived the dispersion relation for longitudinal microwave instability in a coasting beam. For cold beam, the beam is always unstable unless the impedances is pure inductive above transition or pure capacitive below transition. For warm beam, Landau damping make beam stable if the beam energy spread is sufficiently large. The stability condition can be estimated from Keil-Schnell criteria.